ChatGPT

Laser-Cutting Machine Design for Metal Fabrication

We consider three candidate system architectures (A–C) for a high-throughput 2D metal laser cutter. Each architecture combines mechanical, optical, electronic, and software subsystems. The architectures differ in laser source, beam delivery, motion system, and control approach. A summary comparison is given below, followed by detailed analysis.

1. Candidate System Architectures

Architecture A: *High-Power Single-Head Fiber-Gantry System*

- **Laser source:** One high-power fiber laser (e.g. 6–12 kW CW, 1 μm wavelength). Fiber lasers excel at cutting both stainless steel and aluminum, and can achieve tight focus for small features [1] [2].
- **Beam delivery:** Fiber optic cable to a single cutting head (with beam expander and focusing optics). The head uses a motorized Z-axis for focus and N_2 (nitrogen) assist gas for clean cuts on stainless/aluminum.
- **Mechanical frame:** A rigid gantry spanning a fixed table. X- and Y-axes driven by either linear motors (high speed/precision) or high-resolution ball-screw servomotors on precision rails. Z-axis for focus (<10 mm stroke). Structure sized for 2500×1500 mm work area.
- **Motion Control:** Closed-loop multi-axis servo system with high-resolution linear encoders or laser interferometers on X/Y for sub-micron positioning. According to MotionTech sources, precision linear motors can attain sub-micron accuracy with frictionless motion [3], which is essential to meet a 1 μm tolerance on a 35 μm feature.
- **Control/Software:** A PC-based CNC controller (e.g. Beckhoff TwinCAT or Siemens Sinumerik) running real-time motion and process control. The CNC loads standard part geometries (DXF/G-code), performs nesting optimization (e.g. with Autodesk or Deepnest), and provides a touchscreen HMI. Remote monitoring (Industry 4.0) is supported via an edge computer (Linux PC) uploading performance data to the cloud, similar to TRUMPF's IoT platform [4].
- **Feedback & Sensing:** Laser power feedback (monitor diode) to stabilize output. Precision encoders on axes and potentially linear optical scales ensure position accuracy [3]. A camera or laser line sensor could register plate position (large-field vision) if needed for alignment. Safety sensors: beam-on indicators, interlock switches on enclosure doors, and gas pressure, temperature and filter clogging sensors (with alerts via PLC/HMI).
- **Safety/Compliance:** Fully enclosed Class 1 laser safety (interlocked doors, shutter). Follows ISO 11553 (machine laser safety) and ISO 13849 Cat.3/4 safety circuits. CE-marked (EMC, machinery directives) with emergency stops and guarded moving parts.
- **Automation:** Integrated loading/unloading using a pallet changer or pick-&-place (e.g. TRUMPF LiftMaster-like system [5]). One pallet feeds up to 25 stacked plates automatically; after cutting, finished parts/scrap are offloaded to bins.
- **Throughput/Tolerances:** Designed to cut 25 plates/hr (each ~250×? mm) with complex contours. To meet ~144 s per plate, average cutting speeds of ~0.5–1 m/s (depending on path length) are

required. Messer data: a 6 kW fiber laser can cut 25 mm mild steel at high speed [6], so 15 mm stainless likely needs ~6–8 kW. Achieving 1 μm tolerance on 35 μm features is extremely demanding; typical laser cutters yield tens of microns [7], so Arch A assumes ultra-precision mechanics and on-machine calibration.
- **Risk & Notes:** This is a conventional, proven architecture in high-end laser cutters. Key risks include achieving the 1 μm accuracy over large travel (requires expensive linear drives and perhaps laser interferometer feedback) and meeting BOM cost (~$150k) given high-end components.

Architecture B: *Multi-Head Gantry System (Parallel Processing)*

- **Laser sources:** Two or more moderate-power fiber lasers (e.g. two 4–6 kW lasers) feeding separate heads on a common gantry. Parallel cutting doubles throughput. Alternatively, one very high-power source split into two beams (more complex).
- **Beam delivery:** Each laser has its own fiber-delivered head or a split beam system. Heads are spaced on the gantry so they can cut different zones simultaneously.
- **Mechanical frame:** Larger or stiffer gantry to support dual heads and maintain alignment. Y-axis may be split or two independent Y-carriages on the same bridge. X-axis spans work area.
- **Motion Control:** Essentially two independent CNC axes sets sharing a controller. Requires synchronizing both heads and possibly sharing a master PLC to coordinate.
- **Control/Software:** CNC must manage two tool-heads; part nesting can assign nests to each head. Increases software complexity (multithreading paths).
- **Feedback & Sensing:** Each head has its own sensors (encoders, camera). Additional sensors monitor alignment between heads.
- **Safety/Compliance:** Similar to Arch A (common enclosure). Safety PLC must monitor both lasers and interlocks on doors.
- **Automation:** Similar automatic loader; may need more scrap handling capacity. Dual heads cut in parallel means scrap/small parts accumulates faster.
- **Throughput/Tolerances:** The parallel operation can almost double capacity (up to ~50 plates/hr if balanced). But complexity is higher. Tolerance 1 μm still applies per head; however, each head might be tuned/calibrated individually.
- **Risk & Notes:**
- *Risks:* Coordination of two heads is complex. Interference (laser plumes, heat) between heads could affect cut quality. Cost and maintenance roughly double (two lasers, two heads).
- If one head is idle, throughput drops.
- Potential mechanical challenges: stiff structure to avoid vibration coupling.
- *Benefit:* Throughput gain can be significant, but at cost of complexity.

Architecture C: *Hybrid Gantry with High-Speed Scanner Head*

- **Laser source:** Single medium-power fiber laser (e.g. 4–6 kW) or a dual-source (one fiber, one scanning) arrangement.
- **Beam delivery:** Main fiber head for general cutting; an optional **galvanometer scan head** on the gantry for fine features. The galvo scanner (fast mirrors) can cut small intricate shapes with extremely fast beam steering, supplementing the slower mechanical motion.
- **Mechanical frame:** Standard gantry. The galvo head is mounted on the moving bridge and can cover a limited field (e.g. 300×300 mm) within the larger sheet. The gantry moves the scan head to different sections.

- **Motion Control:** Gantry axes as Arch A; additionally, control of galvo mirrors (integrated control card). Motion coordination needed: e.g. coarse positioning by gantry, fine cuts by scanner.
- **Control/Software:** CNC integrates point-and-shoot instructions for the scanner. For very small features, the CNC sends scan patterns. Nesting software must separate tasks for galvo vs standard head.
- **Feedback & Sensing:** Galvo head needs its own focusing control (auto-focus) and process monitoring (some scanners have integrated pyrometers or cameras). Edge-finding camera may guide scanner placement.
- **Safety/Compliance:** Scanner beam stays within enclosure, but note: scanning lasers can be hazardous if not fully enclosed. All same safety measures apply.
- **Automation:** Loader/unloader as before. Scanned cuts may reduce mechanical movement time, improving effective throughput per plate for certain geometries.
- **Throughput/Tolerances:** The scan head can draw features (~35 μm resolution) much faster than moving the gantry, boosting cutting speed for detailed patterns. Overall throughput goal is met by combining scanner (for small shapes) and standard head (for rough cuts). A drawback: galvo fields are small, so many repositionings by gantry are needed.
- **Risk & Notes:**
- *Risks:* Integrating a galvo adds complexity: sync between gantry and scanner, calibration of both paths, managing two focal lengths. The limited scan field means large sheets still need mechanical cuts.
- Galvo heads are expensive; the benefit is higher speed on fine detail.
- Achieving 1 μm tolerance is more feasible with a scan head (which inherently has micron-level beam control) [3], but only within its small field.
- Cost and supply risk for high-quality galvo heads (e.g. Raylase, IntelliScan).
- If not needed for many features, the extra cost may not justify the gain.

(Optional) Architecture D: *CO_2 Laser System* (for comparison)

- **Laser source:** High-power CO_2 laser (e.g. 10–12 kW). Historically common but bulky.
- **Pros/Cons:** CO_2 can cut thick carbon steel slightly faster and yields smoother edges on thick sheets [1]. However, for stainless and aluminum, fiber is superior: CO_2 lasers need >6 kW for similar thickness [8], and they produce more heat-affected zone.
- **Beam delivery:** Free-space mirrors (heavier and alignment-sensitive) vs fiber (flexible).
- **Cost:** CO_2 lasers and optics are larger, and machines need more beam enclosure (hence bigger cabinet).
- **Tolerances:** CO_2 spot size is larger (worse for sub-mm features). Achieving 1 μm tolerance with a CO_2 beam is essentially infeasible.
- **Risks:** Lower precision, heavier maintenance (CO_2 gas handling), large footprint.
- **Use Case:** A CO_2 architecture is unlikely to meet the small-feature/tolerance requirements; it is included as a benchmark showing why fiber/disk solid-state is preferable.

Comparison Table

Aspect / Architecture	**A. Single-Head Fiber Gantry**	**B. Dual-Head Fiber Gantry**	**C. Hybrid Gantry + Scanner**	**D. CO_2 Laser Gantry**
Laser Type & Power	Fiber laser, ~6–12 kW (Yb fiber)	Two fiber lasers, ~2×(4–6 kW) or split from one (total ~8–12 kW)	Fiber laser ~4–6 kW plus optional fiber-to-galvo coupling	CO_2 laser, ~10–15 kW
Beam Delivery	Fiber cable to single cutting head	Separate fiber to each head (or split beam)	Fiber to one main head; fiber+coupler to galvanometer head	Mirrors path (enclosed optics)
Motion System	XY gantry (linear/ball-screw servos), Z auto-focus	Stiffer dual-head gantry (two Y-carriages or long gantry beam)	Gantry + small fast scanner (2 galvanometer axes inside head)	Similar gantry; heavier structure for larger head
Positioning Accuracy	<5 µm baseline; enhanced by laser interferometer (aim <1 µm) [3] [7]	Similar per-axis (with two independent axes)	High for galvo within field (sub-µm), gantry for coarse cuts	~tens of µm (CO_2 spot ~100 µm)
Controller	PC-based CNC (Beckhoff/Siemens) with real-time motion	CNC with multi-tool coordination (may use 2 motion channels)	CNC with combined gantry+scanner control (often specialized board)	CNC (e.g. Fanuc or proprietary)
Software	Standard laser CNC + nesting (Deepnest/ProNest) + IoT dashboard [4]	CNC+nesting must partition work; dual-process monitoring	CNC sequence includes galvo path planning; vision alignment SW	Standard laser CNC (nesting)
Feedback & Sensors	Linear encoders or interferometers on X/Y; power meter; focus sensor	As A for each head; additional cameras (to avoid collisions)	Galvo position sensors; encoders on gantry; cameras for alignment	Encoders; less need for autofocus (thicker kerf)
Safety Features	Enclosure, interlocks, E-stops (ISO 13849); CNC safety PLC circuit	As A (safety chain duplicates for two lasers); careful interlock logic	As A (galvo head still Class 1 inside enclosure)	Enclosure; CO_2 adds laser-fume hazards

Aspect / Architecture	**A. Single-Head Fiber Gantry**	**B. Dual-Head Fiber Gantry**	**C. Hybrid Gantry + Scanner**	**D. CO_2 Laser Gantry**
Automation	Robotic pallet loader (e.g. LiftMaster) for 25-plate stack [5]	Same, but scrap handling capacity doubled	Same as A; optionally part removal robot (like SortMaster)	Similar automation; scrap removal
Throughput	~25 plates/hr (goal). Cutting speed ~0.5–1 m/s for complex parts. [6]	~50 plates/hr potential (parallel cuts)	Similar to A (scanner speeds up small cuts)	Typically slower for thin sheets; lower throughput vs fiber
Precision (tolerance)	Target 1 μm on 35 μm feature (very challenging) [7]	Same per-head; aligning patterns between heads (<1 mm offsets)	Scanner can natively achieve ~1 μm within its field [3]	Typically >25 μm; CO_2 spot size >100 μm
Cost & BOM	High (precision mechanics + high-power laser) – tough to meet $150k.	Very high (two lasers & complex mechanics) – likely exceed budget	High (one laser + expensive scanner unit)	Moderate laser cost, but bulky; less for precision stuff
Technical Risk	Achieving 1 μm accuracy over large area; cooling ~12 kW laser; cost.	System complexity (sync errors); thermal loads from two lasers; cost	Integrating galvo+gantry; limited scan area; novel control logic	Fails small-feature requirement; obsolescence risk

Recommended Architecture: *Architecture A (Single-Head Fiber Gantry)* is the leading choice. It uses proven, high-precision components and meets metal and tolerance requirements. While costly, it balances performance and complexity: a single high-power fiber laser and precision gantry can achieve the required accuracy and throughput with fewer integration issues than multi-head or hybrid systems. (If extreme throughput is needed later, dual heads could be a future upgrade.)

2. Technical Challenges (for Arch. A)

For the chosen architecture, key engineering challenges include:

- **Submicron Precision:** Maintaining 1 μm positional accuracy on a 2.5×1.5 m table under cutting loads is very demanding. Even the best linear guides and ball screws have thermal expansion and hysteresis. We must use **linear motors or air bearings** with interferometric feedback [3] [9]. Environmental temperature control (±1°C) may be needed. Calibration routines (Renishaw laser interferometer, laser tracker) are required during assembly.
- **Beam Quality & Focus:** To cut 35 μm features cleanly in 15 mm metal, the laser spot must be extremely stable and well-focused. We might require a beam expander or variable zoom to achieve a

- <50 μm spot with a good M^2. Thermal lensing in high power lasers can shift focus – active focus control with distance sensors is needed.
- **Cut Quality & Heat Management:** Thick stainless steel (15 mm) requires powerful laser (6–12 kW) and N_2 assist to avoid oxidation. High power creates heat distortion – the gantry and bed must be heavy/rigid and possibly water-cooled. Also manage smoke/fume extraction to avoid beam attenuation. (Spec: >1500 m^3/h fume extractor.)
- **Motion Control:** Coordinating smooth acceleration/deceleration for high speeds (to meet ~1 m/s cuts) while preserving precision is complex. High-dynamic servo drives and real-time CNC interpolation (5th-order polynomials) are needed. Jerk control algorithms minimize vibration.
- **Software Integration:** The CNC must handle nesting optimization (to pack parts efficiently) and generate toolpaths that respect part precision. Integration with remote monitoring (IoT/Industry4.0) requires an edge computer and secure data connection (e.g. via MQTT to cloud dashboards) [4]. Implementing this adds cybersecurity and software maintenance overhead.
- **Safety and Fault Handling:** Continuous laser operation demands robust safety interlocks (doors, light curtains, vapor sensors). The control system must implement safety PLC logic (e.g. emergency stop circuits with Category 3 redundancy). Implementing ISO 13849-compliant architectures (dual-channel PL- e) is needed. Laser-specific standards (IEC 60825-1) mandate fail-safes and warning lights.
- **Precision Cutting Control:** For 1 μm tolerance, laser power stability (via closed-loop CO_2/MOPA current control) and positioning accuracy must be tightly controlled. Feedback may include monitoring cut kerf (with coaxial camera or pyrometer) to adjust focus or power in real-time. Such process sensing loops are advanced.
- **Cost & Supply:** Sourcing a >$50k fiber laser and precision linear axes may strain the $150k BOM. Trade-offs might be needed (e.g. slightly lower power laser or mass-produced linear modules). Long lead times (for high-end lasers, motors) are a risk.
- **Automation Reliability:** Automatic pallet changers and parts sorters introduce moving parts (gantries, robots) that can fail or misalign. Integrating and testing this subsystem (often from 3rd-party vendors) is a project risk.

3. Main Component Vendors and Customization Needs

Based on the architecture above, key subsystems and example vendors:

- **Laser Source:**
- *Vendors:* IPG Photonics, nLIGHT, TRUMPF (TruDisk), Coherent, JPT/Raycus (Asia).
- *Notes:* Fiber lasers (~6–12 kW) are modular (IPG G-series, nLIGHT HP series). Choose based on cost and support. If aluminum cutting is significant, consider a **green fiber laser** (IPG Green Laser) – but these are very expensive and might be beyond scope.

- *Customization:* Standard off-the-shelf lasers suffice. Custom research only for extremely high brightness (e.g. 20 kW) which is likely unnecessary.

- **Laser Cutting Head / Optics:**

- *Vendors:* RayTools, Precitec, Bin Han (OEM heads), or IPG's LiteCut head.
- *Notes:* Heads include adjustable-focus lens and nozzle. RayTools and Precitec offer autofocus and collision protection. Nozzle designs may be customized for 1 μm precision (e.g. special pinhole).

- *Customization:* Possibly custom-designed beam expander or internal adaptive optics if beam quality correction needed for micro-features – an advanced R&D area.

- **Motion Mechanics (Rails/Guides/Frame):**

- *Vendors:* Bosch Rexroth (linear guides and racks), THK or HIWIN (ball screws or linear guides), IAI/HIWIN/Aerotech (linear motors).
- *Notes:* To achieve sub-μm, linear motors (Aerotech, IAI) or high-precision ball screws with dual-nut (THK, Nabtesco) and Heidenhain linear scales should be used. Gantry frame welded steel (or cast iron) with granite table top is typical.

- *Customization:* Likely custom design of large welded frame and gantry. Buying pre-assembled modules (like Aeotec or Guericke machine beds) is possible but integration is needed. Ensuring thermal stability (maybe water-cooled bed) is a design point.

- **Drives and Motors:**

- *Vendors:* Siemens (1FK series motors), Beckhoff AM8000 series, Kollmorgen, Yaskawa, Panasonic.
- *Notes:* Use digital servo drives (EtherCAT-based) integrated with control. If linear motors chosen, need water-cooled linear motor drives.

- *Customization:* Standard selection; no major R&D. But careful sizing for acceleration and precision.

- **Control Electronics (CNC/PLC):**

- *Vendors:* Beckhoff TwinCAT (PC-based with EtherCAT I/O), Siemens (Sinumerik or S7+Simatic CNC), Fanuc, Mitsubishi. For safety PLC: Pilz PNOZ or Siemens S7 Failsafe.
- *Notes:* Beckhoff offers flexible PC-based control (similar to TRUMPF's Linux IoT box [4]), including TwinCAT CNC. Siemens Sinumerik is used in many lasers (Bystronic, Mazak).

- *Customization:* Possibly need custom CNC macros for nesting and scanner control. No bleeding-edge R&D, but careful software development.

- **Sensors:**

- *Encoders:* Heidenhain or Renishaw linear scales for axes. (Example: Renishaw RESOLUTE for μm resolution).
- *Vision:* Basler or Cognex cameras for edge-finding; Keyence laser displacement sensors for focus/distance.
- *Gas and Flow:* Parker Hannifin or Beko regulators for N_2; Teledyne Dalsa flow sensors for gas.
- *Environmental:* Temperature/humidity sensors (siemens, Honeywell) if needed for compensation.

- *Customization:* Possibly develop a vision algorithm for edge detection if automated alignment is desired.

- **User Interface / Software:**

- *HMI:* Beckhoff CP series panel PCs, Siemens operator panel, or custom Windows/Qt interface.

- *Nesting & CAM:* SigmaNEST, Lantek, ProNest, Autodesk TruNest, or open-source DeepNest [10]. Choose based on budget.
- *IoT Cloud:* AWS IoT or Azure IoT edge (as TRUMPF did [4]), or simpler OPC UA data logging.
- *Customization:* Software integration for CNC+nesting may need custom CAM post-processor. IoT stack development is a software engineering effort (Docker, cloud, security) [4].
- **Safety Systems:**
- *Vendors:* Pilz, SICK, Allen-Bradley for safety PLC and light curtains. (E.g. Pilz PNOZ/multi for E-stop; SICK Flexi Soft for interlocks).
- *Customization:* Safety software (e.g. sensor integration logic) will be custom. Meeting ISO 13849 requires calculation of MTTF and architecture categories.
- **Cooling & Ventilation:**
- *Vendors:* Heat exchangers by Hiflor (Hifkor), Lytron for laser diode cooling; Nederman or Donaldson for fume extraction.
- *Notes:* Laser diodes require water chiller (10–20 kW), often from IPG or Lytron. Metal cutting fumes require a high-capacity fan/filter (Dana or TRUMPF filter).
- *Customization:* Sizing ductwork and custom hood over cut area is needed, but standard engineering.
- **Material Handling (Automation):**
- *Vendors:* TRUMPF (LiftMaster series) [5], LVD (AutoLoad), Mecal or Cutlite Penta. For smaller scale, local gantry robot with vacuum gripper.
- *Customization:* Integrating a third-party loader often needs software and possibly mechanical adaptation to the specific machine.

Items Requiring Special R&D or Customization: Achieving 1 μm accuracy on a large machine is beyond typical off-the-shelf specs, so expect research on: - Advanced calibration procedures (laser interferometer systems for on-the-fly axis calibration). - Custom cutting head design (beam shaping for minimal kerf). - Novel software for active feedback (monitoring cut kerf or plasma plume to adjust focus/power). - Possibly development of a proprietary nesting algorithm optimized for both cut speed and feature precision.

4. Top-Level Requirements (by Discipline)

Mechanical & Structural

- **Work Envelope:** ≥2500 mm (W) ×1500 mm (L) ×15 mm (T) maximum plate. Gantry travel must cover at least these.
- **Frame/Stability:** Rigid welded steel/iron frame with high natural frequency; steel plate thickness ~80–100 mm or granite table. Thermal expansion <5 μm/m·K.
- **Axes:**
- X-axis travel ≥2600 mm, Y-axis ≥1600 mm (to ensure full coverage).
- Z-axis stroke ~100 mm (auto-focus range).

- **Motion Elements:**
- Linear motors or precision ballscrews with 2nd nut (THK/Bosch Rexroth) on X/Y. Y-axis may be dual rails for stability.
- Linear guide rails (e.g. THK, Hiwin) and recirculating bearings for smooth motion.
- Actuators sized for accelerations ~5 m/s² (for fast cuts), payload (laser head ~20 kg).
- **Precision/Accuracy:**
- Positioning repeatability ≤1 μm (verified by encoders). Straightness <5 μm over travel.
- Thermal management: integrated chillers or heat pipes on frame if needed.
- **Material Handling:**
- Pallet system: Holds stack of ~25 plates, auto index. Materials: steel pallets.
- Fixture/Clamping: Pneumatic vacuum or mechanical clamps for each plate.
- Exhaust: Integrated hood or snorkel to capture smoke; connectors for duct to fume extractor.
- **Housing:**
- Enclosed cabinet (Class 1 laser), with windows made of appropriate OD (optical density).
- Dust-tight doors with interlocks; adequate space around machine for maintenance.

Optics & Laser

- **Laser Source:**
- High-power fiber laser (≥6 kW) or equivalent solid-state. Wavelength ~1070 nm (for steel, Al).
- Beam quality: M² <1.3 for tight focus. Energy stability ±1%. Warm-up <30 min.
- **Beam Delivery:**
- Fiber cable (e.g. 15–20 m) with protective covering. Quick-disconnect connectors for head changeover.
- Cutting head: Adjustable lens system (e.g. f-theta lens). Beam expander if needed for small spot.
- **Assist Gas:**
- Primary: Nitrogen (to prevent oxidation, required for stainless/aluminum). Gas consumption ~300–500 L/min at 15 MPa for 12 kW cutting (flow control needed).
- Secondary: Oxygen (for mild steel to speed up; optional).
- **Focusing:**
- Motorized Z for focus; range ~ ±50 mm. Accuracy ±5 μm.
- Nozzle changer: Interchangeable nozzles for different kerf widths (e.g. standard and fine-cut nozzles).
- **Safety Optics:**
- Beam shutter (fast turn-off) and dump. Laser head with beam-protective glass window (with auto-flap) to protect lens.

Electronics & Control

- **CNC/PLC:**
- Controller: Real-time PC (Beckhoff C6920) or CNC controller (Siemens Sinumerik 840D) with multi-axis motion modules.
- I/O: EtherCAT or PROFIBUS network for drives and I/O. ~200 I/O points (motors, sensors, valves).
- Safety PLC: Independent safety controller (Pilz PNOZ or Siemens F-CPU) managing E-stops, door interlocks, and laser-enable.
- **Drives:**
- Servo drives for each axis with digital feedback (e.g. Beckhoff AM8000 series).
- If linear motors: water-cooled linear drives (e.g. Kollmorgen).

- **Sensors:**
- Absolute linear encoders (Renishaw or Heidenhain) on each axis (10 nm resolution).
- Laser power monitor diode. Temperature sensors on laser head. Gas flow meters.
- Emergency physical limit switches on home/home-return.
- **Electrical:**
- Enclosure: IP54 or better. Electrical cabinet with HVAC.
- 3-phase power, ~100 A service for laser and drives (to be calculated precisely).
- **Software:**
- Real-time OS (TwinCAT or dedicated CNC). HMI PC (Windows/Linux) for operator station.
- CNC software: Accepts DXF/G-code, tool library for cutting parameters (speed, gas).
- Nesting: Integrated or offline nesting software. Capable of optimizing 2D layouts for multiple parts.
- Data logging: Records production metrics, fault logs, for up to 1 year (for traceability).
- Remote Monitoring: Ethernet/Wi-Fi for connection to cloud or VPN. Implements OPC UA or REST API for status queries.

Software & Interfaces

- **User Interface:**
- Touchscreen HMI (10–15″) at machine for job setup, status, manual jog.
- Desktop/Web interface for remote monitoring (dashboard, KPIs).
- Intuitive UI for part nesting previews, cutting simulation. CNC-Compatible (ISO G-code).
- **Nesting & CAM:**
- Software must handle arbitrary 2D shapes (DXF, DWG, native drawings). Supports common file formats.
- Automatic nesting engine (minimize waste) with manual override.
- Generate lead-in, lead-out paths, and microcuts for corners (to ensure quality on small features).
- **Maintenance Software:**
- Diagnostics mode (sensor status, encoder signals).
- Calibration routines (e.g. laser auto-calibrate using test patterns).
- Alert system: Automatic notification (email/SMS) for errors (e.g. lens dirty, axis overload, door open, etc.).
- **Network:**
- Onboard industrial PC with firewall. Connect via Ethernet (Profinet/EtherCAT) to drives.
- IoT Gateway (e.g. OPC UA server) for SCADA integration.

Safety & Compliance

- **Standards:**
- **CE Mark (EU), UL/cUL (US/Canada).** Conform to Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC, EMC Directive, Low Voltage.
- **Laser Safety:** IEC 60825-1 (Class 1 enclosed system). Interlocks on all access panels. Emergency stop latches machine state.
- **Safety PLC:** Design to ISO 13849-1 Category 3 (or 4 if double-channel), PL e for Emergency Stop and critical interlocks [5].
- **Risk Assessment:** Perform per ISO 12100. Provide safety manual and markings per EN standards.
- **Operator Protection:**
- Laser-proof viewing window (OD appropriate for 1 μm).
- Safety light curtains or mats not needed if fully enclosed, but doors must auto-stop laser if opened.

- Warning lights (red/green) for laser-on status.
- **Ergonomics:**
- Controls at ergonomic height. Good lighting inside machine when door open.
- Compliant guarding on moving motion parts (axes covers).
- **Environmental:**
- No special climate control mandated, but indoor ambient 15–30 °C, <70% RH recommended for accuracy.
- If required, shop floor cleanliness to avoid dust on optics. Filters on intake.
- **Electrical Safety:**
- Main disconnect switch accessible. Grounding to <0.1 Ω. Residual-current device (RCD) for 30 mA leakage.

5. Open Questions and Clarifications Needed

To finalize the design and requirement set, we should clarify:

- **Plate Details:** Are all 25 plates per stack identical size and shape? What are their exact width × length? (E.g. are they 250 mm × Y each, or varying?) This affects gantry stroke and nesting strategy.
- **Part Geometry:** Can we quantify the complexity of the "not straight features"? (e.g. average number of curves per part, total cutting length). This impacts required cutting speed.
- **Material Mix:** Besides "stainless steel" and "aluminum", are specific alloys known? (E.g. 316L vs 304, 6061 vs 5052). Aluminum has high reflectivity – do we expect to cut full 15 mm Al on a fiber laser without green wavelength enhancement?
- **Throughput Calculation:** The target is 25 plates/hr at 250 mm length. Does this imply a specific cycle time per plate (~144 s), and is that for a single pass cut? If each plate has a certain number of parts, we should confirm total cut path length per plate to validate speed requirements.
- **Part Tolerances:** The 1 μm tolerance on 35 μm features is extremely tight. Is this tolerance applicable only to feature dimension (e.g. hole diameter) or to all part dimensions? Are angular tolerances also stringent?
- **Automation Detail:** How are plates presented? On pallets, rollers, or a stack? Are the plates pre-cut to size? Details affect loader design.
- **Nesting Scope:** Should the system handle multi-sheet nesting (mix different parts on different plates) or just repeat patterns on identical plates?
- **Safety Class:** Is a Class 1 fully enclosed system required? (Likely yes for CE mark, but to confirm if open light curtains are acceptable when lid is closed.)
- **Budgeting:** Does the $150k BOM include only components, or also assembly/testing? Realistically, high-precision machines often exceed this; we may need to confirm any cost flexibility.

Addressing these questions will ensure the design targets match the actual production scenario and refine the architecture choice.

---

[1] [8] Fiber vs. CO2 Lasers: Which One Should You Buy and Why?
https://espritautomation.com/co2-vs-fiber-laser-technology/

[2] [6] Messer KW Laser Cutting Machines - Messer Cutting Systems
https://us.messer-cutting.com/products/2-20kw-cutting-machines/

3 9 High Precision Linear Motors: Accuracy, Speed & Industrial Uses
https://itg-motor.com/high-precision-linear-motors-the-future-of-precision-motion/

4 Industry 4.0 in Practice: TRUMPF Brings IoT to Manufacturing
https://www.gft.com/us/en/insights/success-stories/trumpf-brings-iot-to-manufacturing

5 Automation for 2D laser cutting machines | TRUMPF
https://www.trumpf.com/en_US/products/machines-systems/automation/automation-for-2d-laser-cutting-machines/

7 What Is the Tolerance of a Laser Cut Hole? - A-Laser Precision Laser Cutting
https://a-laser.com/what-is-tolerance-laser-cut-hole/

10 Deepnest - open source nesting software
https://deepnest.io/