

LASER-CUTTING MACHINE FOR METAL PROCESSING INDUSTRY

System Design & Architecture Document

Document Rev: A

Date: February 2026

Classification: Internal / Engineering

CONFIDENTIAL

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary

This document presents the complete system-level design for an industrial laser cutting machine targeting the metal processing industry. The system must process aluminum and stainless steel sheets up to 2500 x 1500 mm, with thicknesses ranging from foil (sub-0.1mm) to 15mm plate, achieving a smallest cutting feature of 35 micrometers, at a production throughput of 25-50 parts per hour, within a target BOM cost of \$150,000 USD.

Three candidate system architectures are analyzed, compared across all relevant engineering disciplines, and a leading architecture is recommended. Technical challenges, vendor landscapes, and a complete top-level system requirements document are provided as a foundation for the detailed design phase.

1.1 Critical Feasibility Assessment

CRITICAL FINDING: The combination of 35 micrometer minimum feature size across the full thickness range (up to 15mm) represents a fundamental physics conflict that cannot be resolved by any single existing laser cutting technology. This document addresses this through a dual-regime approach.

The physical limitations are as follows:

- At 15mm stainless steel: minimum achievable kerf width with CW fiber lasers is 200-400 micrometers. The beam diverges through thick material, and the heat-affected zone broadens proportionally.
- 35 micrometer features require either ultrafast (femtosecond/picosecond) laser processing or extremely tight-focus CW cutting on thin substrates (typically less than 0.5mm).
- The aspect ratio of 35um feature through 15mm material (approximately 430:1) exceeds the capability of all known laser machining processes in metals.

Recommended resolution: The leading architecture implements a dual-source approach with thickness-dependent feature-size specifications. Product management should validate the following derived specification table:

Material Thickness	Minimum Feature Size	Processing Method	Rationale
< 0.5 mm	35 um	Ultrafast laser (ps/fs)	Low thermal load, tight focus maintained
0.5 - 2.0 mm	50 - 80 um	Ultrafast laser or tight-focus CW	Moderate aspect ratio achievable
2.0 - 6.0 mm	100 - 150 um	CW fiber laser, fine mode	Kerf limited by beam propagation
6.0 - 15.0 mm	200 - 350 um	CW fiber laser, power mode	Physics-limited kerf width

If the 35 micrometer requirement at 15mm is truly non-negotiable, the system cannot be built with current technology. This document proceeds with the dual-regime approach as the most capable feasible design.

2. System Architecture Analysis

Three architectures were evaluated against the full set of product requirements and engineering considerations. Each represents a fundamentally different approach to balancing cutting capability, precision, throughput, and cost.

2.1 Architecture A: Conventional High-Power CW Fiber Laser

2.1.1 Overview

This is the industry-standard approach used by manufacturers such as Trumpf TruLaser, Bystronic ByStar, and Mazak Optiplex. A single high-power continuous-wave (CW) fiber laser (6-8 kW) drives a flying-optics gantry system. The laser source is stationary, and the beam is delivered via fiber to a cutting head that moves in X-Y over the stationary workpiece. Z-axis focus control and capacitive height sensing provide standoff regulation.

2.1.2 Key Characteristics

- Laser: 6-8 kW CW fiber laser (IPG YLS, nLIGHT alta, or Raycus RFL-C series)
- Motion: Overhead gantry with linear motor drives, rack-and-pinion or belt on long axis
- Cutting head: Precitec ProCutter or Raytools BT240S with autofocus and capacitive sensing
- Control: Beckhoff TwinCAT or Siemens SINUMERIK 840D CNC + EtherCAT servo drives
- Process monitoring: Photodiode-based piercing detection, backscatter monitoring
- Gas delivery: High-pressure nitrogen (up to 20 bar) for stainless, oxygen for mild steel assist

2.1.3 Strengths and Limitations

Strengths: Mature technology, proven supply chain, lowest cost, highest thick-material cutting speed, extensive vendor support, well-understood maintenance. Limitations: Cannot achieve 35um features at any thickness. Minimum feature on thin stock is approximately 80-100um. No ultrafast capability. Limited beam quality tunability.

2.2 Architecture B: Dual-Source Hybrid (CW Fiber + Ultrafast Laser)

2.2.1 Overview

This architecture combines a medium-power CW fiber laser (4-6 kW) for bulk cutting of thick material with an ultrafast pulsed laser (picosecond or femtosecond, 50-100W average power) for micro-feature cutting on thinner stock. Both sources share a common high-precision gantry platform but use separate cutting/processing heads mounted on the same carriage, with automatic head switching.

2.2.2 Key Characteristics

- CW Laser: 4-6 kW fiber laser for thick cutting (bulk material removal)
- Ultrafast Laser: 50-100W average power, <10ps pulse duration (e.g., Trumpf TruMicro 5000, Coherent Monaco, Amplitude Tangor)
- Motion: High-precision granite-based or polymer-concrete gantry with linear motor direct drives on all axes
- Dual heads: CW cutting head (Precitec) + ultrafast processing head with galvo scanner

- Control: Hybrid CNC (Beckhoff/ACS) with ultrafast pulse synchronization, galvo scanner control
- Process monitoring: Coaxial OCT for depth measurement, high-speed camera for melt pool monitoring, acoustic emission sensors
- Beam delivery: Separate fiber paths; ultrafast via hollow-core fiber or free-space with beam conditioning optics

2.2.3 Strengths and Limitations

Strengths: Can achieve 35um features on thin material (sub-1mm), excellent edge quality from cold ablation, capable of both bulk cutting and micromachining. Limitations: Ultrafast source alone costs \$100-200K, far exceeding the total BOM budget. System complexity doubles (two laser sources, two beam paths, two head types). Ultrafast still cannot achieve 35um at 15mm. Thermal management of ultrafast source is complex. Development risk is very high.

2.3 Architecture C: Variable-BPP Fiber Laser with High-Precision Gantry (RECOMMENDED)

2.3.1 Overview

This architecture leverages recent advances in variable Beam Parameter Product (BPP) fiber laser technology. Lasers from manufacturers such as Trumpf (TruDisk with BrightLine), IPG (AMB series), and Coherent (HighLight FL-ARM) can dynamically adjust the beam profile between a tight, high-brightness mode (for thin material and fine features) and a wider, distributed mode (for stable thick-section cutting). Combined with a high-precision linear-motor gantry and advanced cutting head with adaptive optics, this architecture provides the best achievable feature sizes across the thickness range.

2.3.2 Key Characteristics

- Laser: 6-8 kW variable-BPP fiber laser with mode switching (e.g., IPG AMB, Coherent ARM, or nLIGHT with adjustable ring/core beam)
- Motion: Precision linear-motor gantry on CNC-ground guideways. Ironless linear motors for X/Y with sub-micron encoder feedback. Gantry base: polymer concrete or natural granite for thermal stability.
- Cutting head: Precitec ProCutter 2.0 or LightCutter with motorized zoom collimation, adaptive focus, and integrated coaxial vision/OCT sensor
- Control: Beckhoff TwinCAT XTS or ACS SPiiPlus platform with EtherCAT. Real-time adaptive control loop adjusting laser power, speed, gas pressure, and focus position based on process feedback.
- Process monitoring: Multi-sensor suite including coaxial OCT (kerf depth), photodiode array (plasma/backscatter), pyrometer (temperature), acoustic emission, and coaxial camera (melt pool geometry)
- Gas delivery: Dual-circuit high-pressure system (nitrogen to 25 bar, oxygen to 6 bar) with proportional control valves and nozzle pressure sensors
- Safety: Full Class 1 laser enclosure, light curtains at access points, interlock chain with SIL-2 safety PLC

2.3.3 Strengths and Limitations

Strengths: Single laser source with mode versatility, best achievable feature sizes within physics limits (50-80um on thin stock, 200-350um on thick stock via BPP optimization). Mature motion

platform. Integrated process feedback enables adaptive quality control. BOM is tight but achievable at \$140-165K. Development risk is moderate. Clear path to serial production.

Limitations: Cannot achieve 35um features (physics-limited to ~50um minimum even on thin stock with CW source). Variable-BPP technology is newer and vendor selection is more limited. Requires sophisticated real-time control software for mode switching during cuts. Cutting head with adaptive optics is premium-priced.

2.4 Architecture Comparison Table

The following table compares all three architectures across engineering disciplines:

Parameter	Arch A: Conventional CW	Arch B: Dual-Source Hybrid	Arch C: Variable-BPP (Rec.)
LASER SOURCE			
Laser type	6-8kW CW fiber	4-6kW CW + 50-100W ultrafast	6-8kW variable-BPP fiber
Beam quality (BPP)	Fixed, 2-4 mm*mrad	CW: 2-4 / UF: <0.4 mm*mrad	Adjustable 0.8-8 mm*mrad
Wall-plug efficiency	~40-45%	CW: 40% / UF: 15-20%	~38-42%
CUTTING CAPABILITY			
Min feature (t<0.5mm)	~80-100 um	~30-40 um (ultrafast)	~50-80 um
Min feature (t=15mm)	~300-400 um	~200-300 um (CW only)	~200-350 um
Max cut speed (1mm SS)	30-50 m/min	CW: 25-40 / UF: 0.5 m/min	30-50 m/min
Max cut speed (15mm SS)	1.0-1.5 m/min	0.8-1.2 m/min (less CW pwr)	1.0-1.5 m/min
Throughput (parts/hr)	30-50	15-30 (head switching overhead)	25-45
MOTION SYSTEM			
Gantry type	Steel weldment, belt/rack	Granite/polymer, linear motor	Polymer concrete, linear motor
Positioning accuracy	+/- 15-25 um	+/- 3-5 um	+/- 5-10 um
Repeatability	+/- 10 um	+/- 1-2 um	+/- 3-5 um
Max traverse speed	100-140 m/min	60-80 m/min	80-120 m/min
Acceleration	1.0-1.5 G	0.8-1.2 G	1.0-1.5 G
CONTROL & FEEDBACK			
CNC platform	Siemens/Beckhoff/Fanuc	Custom ACS/Beckhoff hybrid	Beckhoff TwinCAT / ACS
Process feedback	Basic (pierce detect)	Advanced (OCT, camera, AE)	Advanced (OCT, camera, AE)
Adaptive control	Limited (height only)	Full (dual-source coordination)	Full (BPP + speed + gas)
Real-time loop rate	1-4 kHz	10-50 kHz	4-10 kHz
OPTICS			
Cutting head	Standard autofocus	Dual heads (CW + galvo)	Adaptive zoom + autofocus
Focus range	Fixed collimation	CW: fixed / UF: scanner	Motorized collimation zoom
Coaxial vision	Optional	Yes (both heads)	Integrated
Nozzle type	Standard conical	CW: conical / UF: none	Conical + micro-nozzle option

Parameter	Arch A: Conventional CW	Arch B: Dual-Source Hybrid	Arch C: Variable-BPP (Rec.)
SAFETY & ENCLOSURE			
Laser safety class	Class 1 (enclosed)	Class 1 (enclosed)	Class 1 (enclosed)
Safety PLC	SIL-2 (Pilz/Sick)	SIL-2 (Pilz/Sick)	SIL-2 (Pilz/Sick)
Fume extraction	Standard	Standard + ultrafast debris	Standard
Enclosure complexity	Low	High (dual beam paths)	Medium
GAS DELIVERY			
Assist gas types	N2, O2	N2, O2 + process gas for UF	N2, O2
Max pressure	20 bar N2	20 bar N2	25 bar N2
Flow control	Manual regulator	Proportional electronic	Proportional electronic
THERMAL MANAGEMENT			
Laser chiller	Single-loop industrial	Dual-loop (CW + UF)	Single-loop industrial
Gantry thermal comp.	None	Active compensation	Passive + monitoring
COST & RISK			
Estimated BOM	\$100-130K	\$250-350K	\$140-165K
Budget compliance	PASS	FAIL (>2x over)	MARGINAL (needs optimization)
Development risk	Low	Very High	Medium
Time to prototype	6-9 months	18-24 months	10-14 months
Supply chain risk	Low (mature vendors)	High (ultrafast limited)	Medium (BPP is newer)
MAINTENANCE			
Laser MTBF	>100,000 hrs	CW: >100K / UF: ~20-40K hrs	>100,000 hrs
Consumables	Nozzles, lenses, gas	Double consumable set	Nozzles, lenses, gas
Service complexity	Low	Very High	Medium
SCALABILITY			
Upgrade path	Limited to laser power	Full but extremely costly	BPP range, power, head options
Platform reuse	Standard frames	Custom platform	Modular platform

2.5 Architecture Recommendation

Architecture C (Variable-BPP Fiber Laser with High-Precision Gantry) is recommended as the leading architecture for the following reasons:

1. Best balance of feature size capability vs. cost: achieves 50-80um on thin stock (closest feasible to 35um requirement without exceeding budget).
2. Single laser source eliminates the complexity, cost, and reliability penalties of dual-source Architecture B.

3. Variable BPP provides genuine process flexibility, enabling thick-section cutting with distributed beam and fine-feature cutting with concentrated beam using the same source.
4. Advanced process monitoring (OCT, coaxial camera, acoustic emission) enables adaptive quality control that partially compensates for the gap between achievable and target feature sizes.
5. BOM is tight but achievable at \$140-165K with aggressive vendor negotiation and strategic make-vs-buy decisions.
6. Moderate development risk with clear path to serial production within 10-14 months.
7. Platform is modular and upgradeable: future laser source improvements in BPP technology will directly improve feature size without mechanical redesign.

Risk note: Architecture C does NOT meet the 35um feature requirement at any thickness. It achieves ~50-80um on thin stock and ~200-350um on thick stock. If 35um is non-negotiable, Architecture B is the only candidate, but it will exceed the BOM budget by at least 2x and carries very high development risk. A requirement revision is strongly recommended.

3. Technical Challenges for Leading Architecture

This section details the key technical challenges for Architecture C, organized by engineering discipline, with proposed mitigation strategies.

3.1 Optics & Laser Challenges

3.1.1 Variable BPP Beam Delivery Optimization

The variable-BPP fiber laser outputs a beam whose spatial profile changes between modes. The beam delivery optics (fiber end, collimator, focusing lens) must be designed to maintain diffraction-limited or near-diffraction-limited performance across the full BPP range. Key challenge: The cutting head's zoom collimation must track the BPP mode change in real-time during cutting transitions without introducing transient focus errors. The optical design must handle both the narrow core-beam mode (BPP $\sim 0.8\text{-}1.5\text{ mm}\cdot\text{mrad}$) and the ring-beam or distributed mode (BPP $\sim 4\text{-}8\text{ mm}\cdot\text{mrad}$) with high Strehl ratio.

Mitigation: Select a cutting head with motorized zoom collimator that has been validated with the specific laser source. Engage the laser and head vendors jointly in a beam delivery qualification program. Develop a BPP-to-focus lookup table and validate with burn patterns on anodized aluminum at each mode setting.

3.1.2 Achieving Minimum Spot Size

For the finest features, the system must produce the smallest possible focused spot. With a $0.8\text{ mm}\cdot\text{mrad}$ BPP beam and a typical 100mm focal length cutting lens, the theoretical diffraction-limited spot diameter is approximately 25-30 micrometers. However, real-world optical aberrations, fiber delivery effects, protective window contamination, and thermal lensing in the cutting lens will increase this to 40-60 micrometers in practice. This directly limits the minimum kerf width and hence the minimum feature size.

Mitigation: Use high-quality fused silica optics with multi-layer AR coatings. Implement a protective window monitoring system (scattered light sensor) to detect contamination before it degrades beam quality. Consider a shorter focal length (75mm) for fine-feature mode, accepting reduced standoff distance. Perform periodic beam quality measurements with a beam profiler.

3.1.3 Coaxial OCT Integration

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) for real-time kerf depth and profile measurement must be integrated coaxially through the cutting head optics. The OCT beam shares the same optical path as the cutting laser, which requires dichroic beam combining and careful spectral filtering to avoid OCT detector saturation from the cutting laser's backscatter and plasma emission.

Mitigation: Use a Precitec IDM (Intelligent Distance Measurement) or equivalent integrated OCT module designed for laser cutting heads. These have been commercially validated. Budget \$15-25K for the OCT module.

3.2 Motion & Mechanical Challenges

3.2.1 Gantry Dynamics vs. Precision Trade-off

The system requires both high acceleration (for throughput when traversing between features) and high precision (for feature cutting). The gantry must achieve $\pm 5\text{-}10\mu\text{m}$ positioning accuracy over a $2500\times 1500\text{mm}$ work area while accelerating at $1.0\text{-}1.5\text{G}$. This requires extremely stiff, lightweight, and thermally stable structural design. The moving mass of the cutting head carriage (including head, Z-axis, sensors, gas connections) must be minimized.

Mitigation: Use polymer concrete or mineral-cast base with CNC-ground steel guideways. Ironless linear motors (e.g., Etel ILF+, Beckhoff AL8000) for zero cogging. Carbon-fiber or aluminum honeycomb cross-beam for the Y-axis gantry bridge. Target moving mass under 25 kg. Implement gantry resonance mapping during commissioning and configure notch filters in the servo loop.

3.2.2 Thermal Stability Over Work Area

Temperature gradients across the 2.5m gantry span cause differential expansion that directly impacts positioning accuracy. A 1 degree C gradient across 2.5m of steel produces approximately 30 micrometers of distortion, which is comparable to the target feature size. The laser and gantry heat sources (motors, laser reflections, cut zone) exacerbate this.

Mitigation: Polymer concrete base (coefficient of thermal expansion approximately 10 ppm/K vs. steel at 12 ppm/K, and much higher thermal inertia). Embed temperature sensors in the base and cross-beam (minimum 6 points) for thermal compensation in the CNC. Shield the base from laser-zone radiant heat. Climate-controlled factory environment is assumed.

3.2.3 Work Table and Fixturing for Full Sheet Size

The 2500x1500mm work area requires a slat table or pin table that supports the full sheet weight (stainless steel at 15mm is approximately 450 kg for a full sheet) while allowing cut parts and slag to fall away. The table must not introduce vibration coupling to the gantry and must be easily maintained (slat replacement, slag removal).

Mitigation: Honeycomb or replaceable-slat support table isolated from the gantry base via damping mounts. Motorized table shuttle for sheet exchange (load/unload during cutting cycle). Slag drawer below the cutting zone.

3.3 Control & Software Challenges

3.3.1 Real-Time Adaptive Cutting Control

The adaptive control system must fuse data from multiple sensors (OCT kerf depth, photodiode backscatter intensity, pyrometer temperature, acoustic emission, coaxial camera) and adjust laser power, cutting speed, gas pressure, and focus position in real-time. The control loop must operate at 4-10 kHz to respond to process transients such as edge defects, material inclusions, and piercing transitions. This is a complex real-time signal processing and control problem.

Mitigation: Implement on Beckhoff TwinCAT 3 with XFC (eXtreme Fast Control) modules for deterministic sub-millisecond I/O. Develop process models for each material type and thickness that define the sensor-to-actuator transfer functions. Start with conservative open-loop parameter tables and progressively close loops during commissioning. Partner with a control systems research group if internal expertise is limited.

3.3.2 CAM Post-Processor for BPP Mode Switching

The CAM system must generate G-code (or equivalent motion programs) that include BPP mode switching commands synchronized with cutting path geometry. The post-processor must determine when to switch between fine-feature mode and bulk-cutting mode based on geometry analysis, and insert the appropriate mode-change and dwell-time commands into the toolpath.

Mitigation: Develop a custom post-processor module that analyzes DXF/DWG geometry, identifies features below a configurable threshold, and inserts mode-change codes. Start with manual mode assignment in the nesting software and automate progressively.

3.3.3 Process Database and Recipe Management

The system requires a comprehensive process database mapping material type, thickness, feature size, and quality requirements to complete parameter sets (laser power, CW/pulsed mode, BPP setting, speed, gas type, gas pressure, focus position, nozzle standoff). This database must be developed empirically through extensive cutting trials and maintained throughout the product lifecycle.

Mitigation: Budget 3-6 months of dedicated process development time after mechanical/electrical integration. Plan for approximately 200-300 parameter sets (2 materials x 10-15 thicknesses x multiple quality levels). Implement a structured database with version control and traceability.

3.4 Electronics & Power Challenges

3.4.1 Electromagnetic Interference (EMI)

High-power laser drivers, fast-switching servo amplifiers, and sensitive analog sensor inputs (OCT, photodiodes, pyrometer) coexist in close proximity. EMI from the laser power supply and servo drives can corrupt sensor readings, leading to false adaptive control actions. The EtherCAT communication bus must maintain deterministic timing despite the noisy electrical environment.

Mitigation: Strict EMC zoning: separate the power electronics zone (laser PSU, servo drives) from the signal electronics zone (sensor interfaces, CNC) with physical distance and shielded partitions. Use differential signaling for all sensor inputs. Shielded EtherCAT cables. Dedicated clean earth for the sensor electronics. Comply with EN 61326 (EMC for measurement equipment).

3.4.2 Safety-Rated Control System

The safety system must achieve SIL-2 (Safety Integrity Level 2) per EN 62061 for the laser interlock chain, machine guarding, and emergency stop functions. This requires redundant safety inputs, diagnostics, and a certified safety PLC or safety controller integrated with the machine CNC.

Mitigation: Use a Pilz PNOZmulti 2 or Sick Flexi Soft safety controller alongside the machine CNC. All safety-critical I/O (door interlocks, light curtains, E-stop, laser enable) routed through the safety PLC. Safety function design validated per EN ISO 13849 or EN 62061.

3.5 Thermal & Environmental Challenges

3.5.1 Laser Chiller Sizing and Stability

A 6-8 kW fiber laser dissipates approximately 8-12 kW of waste heat (accounting for wall-plug efficiency). The chiller must maintain the laser diode temperature to within +/- 0.5 degrees C for stable output power and beam quality. The chiller's vibration must not couple into the machine base.

Mitigation: Specify a dedicated process chiller (e.g., SMC, Rittal, or S&A) rated for 15-20 kW cooling capacity with +/- 0.1 degree C stability. Mount on vibration-isolating pads, route coolant lines with flexible sections to decouple.

3.5.2 Fume Extraction and Filtration

Laser cutting of stainless steel and aluminum produces metal oxide particulates, including hexavalent chromium compounds from stainless steel which are highly carcinogenic. The fume extraction system must capture particulates at the cutting zone and filter them to comply with occupational exposure limits (OEL) per EN 15012 and regional regulations.

Mitigation: Downdraft extraction through the slat table into a segmented extraction plenum (only the active cutting zone segment is evacuated for efficiency). HEPA + activated carbon filtration unit rated for metal fume. Continuous airflow monitoring with alarm. Budget \$8-15K for the extraction system.

4. Potential Vendor Lists and Procurement Strategy

This section identifies candidate vendors for the major system components, flags items requiring custom development or extended lead-time procurement, and highlights research/qualification efforts needed.

4.1 Laser Source

Vendor	Product Line	BPP Variable	Power Range	Est. Price	Notes
IPG Photonics	YLS-AMB series	Yes (ARM)	2-12 kW	\$40-60K	Market leader, mature ARM technology
Trumpf	TruDisk / TruFiber	Yes (BrightLine)	2-12 kW	\$50-70K	Premium, integrated ecosystem
Coherent (II-VI)	HighLight FL-ARM	Yes (ARM)	3-10 kW	\$35-55K	Good price/performance
nLIGHT	alta series	Partial (corona)	4-12 kW	\$30-50K	Lower cost, corona ring/core
Raycus	RFL-C ABP	Yes (ABP)	3-12 kW	\$20-35K	Lowest cost, Chinese vendor
JPT / MAX (China)	Various ABP	Yes	3-8 kW	\$15-30K	Budget option, newer technology

Recommendation: IPG AMB or Coherent ARM as primary candidates. Raycus ABP as cost-reduction alternative for value-engineering phase. Laser source selection requires beam quality qualification testing with the chosen cutting head; budget 4-6 weeks for this.

4.2 Cutting Head & Optics

Vendor	Product	Features	Est. Price	Notes
Precitec	ProCutter 2.0	Zoom collimator, autofocus, OCT-ready	\$12-18K	Premium, best sensor integration
Precitec	LightCutter	Compact, autofocus, capacitive	\$8-12K	Value option, less sensor integration
Raytools	BT240S / BM115	Autofocus, capacitive sensing	\$3-6K	Budget, widely used in Chinese machines
Laser Mech	FiberCut series	Autofocus, modular	\$6-10K	US vendor, good support
WSX	NC60 / NC30	Autofocus, capacitive	\$2-4K	Lowest cost, basic

Recommendation: Precitec ProCutter 2.0 for the initial prototype (best chance of meeting precision requirements). Consider Raytools BM115 for cost-reduction in serial production if performance is validated.

4.3 Motion System Components

Component	Vendor Options	Est. Price	Notes
Linear motors (X,Y)	Etel ILF+, Beckhoff AL8000, Yaskawa SGLF	\$8-15K (set)	Ironless preferred for zero cogging
Linear encoders	Heidenhain LIC, Renishaw RESOLUTE	\$4-8K (set)	Absolute, sub-micron resolution
Linear guides	THK SHS, Bosch Rexroth, HIWIN HG	\$3-6K (set)	Preloaded, size 25-35
Servo drives	Beckhoff AX5000, ACS SPiiPlus UDM	\$5-10K (set)	EtherCAT, >4kHz current loop
Z-axis actuator	PI N-664, Aerotech ANT, custom voice coil	\$2-5K	Fast focus, +/-5mm range
CNC controller	Beckhoff CX2040/CX2062, ACS SPiiPlus	\$5-10K	Real-time EtherCAT master

4.4 Process Monitoring Sensors

Sensor Type	Vendor / Product	Est. Price	Application
Coaxial OCT	Precitec IDM, Lessmüller OCT	\$15-25K	Kerf depth, piercing, focus
Photodiode array	Precitec LWM, custom InGaAs array	\$2-5K	Backscatter, plasma monitoring
Pyrometer	Micro-Epsilon CTlaser, Optris CT	\$1-3K	Cut zone temperature
Acoustic emission	Vallen VS900-M, Kistler AE sensors	\$2-4K	Cut quality proxy, nozzle clog detect
Coaxial camera	IDS UI-3860CP, Basler ace 2 (coaxial mount)	\$1-3K	Melt pool imaging

4.5 Safety & Enclosure

Component	Vendor Options	Est. Price	Notes
Safety PLC	Pilz PNOZmulti 2, Sick Flexi Soft	\$2-4K	SIL-2 rated
Light curtains	Sick deTec4, Pilz PSENopt II	\$1-3K	Access point protection
Door interlocks	Schmersal AZM40, Euchner CTS	\$0.5-1K (set)	Solenoid-locked, coded
Laser safety panels	Lasernet / Kentek OD7+ panels	\$5-10K	Full enclosure walls, OD7+ at 1um
Fume extraction	Plymovent, Kemper, ULT	\$8-15K	HEPA + carbon, segmented downdraft

4.6 Gas Delivery & Chiller

Component	Vendor Options	Est. Price	Notes
High-pressure N2 system	Linde, Air Liquide + Tescom regulators	\$3-5K	Bulk tank or generator
Proportional valves	Festo VPPE, SMC ITV3000	\$1-2K	Electronic pressure control
Nozzles	Precitec, Raytools, custom-machined	\$0.5-1K (set)	Consumable, multiple sizes
Process chiller	SMC HRS, Rittal TopTherm, S&A CW-6000	\$3-8K	15-20kW capacity

4.7 Items Requiring Custom Development or Research

The following items are identified as needing custom design, prototyping, or qualification research beyond off-the-shelf procurement:

Item	Category	Effort	Risk	Description
Gantry structure	Mechanical	Custom design & FEA	Medium	Polymer concrete base + aluminum/CF bridge. Custom casting and machining. Must be designed for thermal stability, stiffness, and damping. No COTS gantry meets all requirements at this work area.
BPP-to-head optical qualification	Optics	4-6 week test program	Medium	Laser-to-head beam delivery characterization. Validate spot size, focus quality, and BPP mode switching transients with the specific laser/head combination selected.
Adaptive control algorithm	Software	6-12 month development	High	Multi-sensor fusion and real-time adaptive parameter adjustment. No COTS solution exists for this level of integration. Core IP development.
CAM post-processor	Software	3-6 month development	Medium	Custom post-processor for BPP mode assignment based on geometry. Integration with nesting software (SigmaNEST, Lantek, or equivalent).
Process parameter database	Process	3-6 months cutting trials	Medium	200-300 parameter sets developed empirically. Requires dedicated process engineer and test material budget.
Enclosure design	Mechanical	Custom sheet metal design	Low	Standard laser safety enclosure but custom dimensions. Can be outsourced to enclosure fabricator.
Control system integration	Electronics/SW	3-6 months integration	Medium-High	Integrating CNC, safety PLC, sensor interfaces, laser interface, gas control, and HMI into a cohesive system.

5. Top-Level System Requirements Document

The following sections define the top-level requirements for the laser cutting machine, organized by technical discipline. Requirements are numbered by discipline prefix: SYS (system-level), OP (optics), ME (mechanical), EL (electronics), SW (software), SA (safety), PR (process/environmental), QA (quality assurance).

Each requirement includes a verification method: A = Analysis, T = Test, I = Inspection, D = Demonstration.

5.1 System-Level Requirements (SYS)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
SYS-001	The system shall cut aluminum alloys (1xxx-7xxx series) and austenitic stainless steels (304, 316, 316L)	Material types per product req.	D
SYS-002	The system shall accept workpieces up to 2500mm (X) x 1500mm (Y) x 15mm (Z/thickness)	Maximum workpiece dimensions	I
SYS-003	The system shall achieve minimum cutting features per the thickness-dependent specification (see Table 1.1)	35um at <0.5mm, 200-350um at 15mm	T
SYS-004	The system shall achieve throughput of 25-50 complete cutting cycles per hour for typical part geometries (250mm characteristic length, mixed thickness)	25-50 parts/hour	D
SYS-005	The system BOM cost shall not exceed \$165,000 USD at production volumes (target: \$150,000)	BOM <= \$165K	A
SYS-006	The system shall operate continuously for 8-hour shifts with no more than 10 minutes of scheduled maintenance per shift	Duty cycle > 97%	D
SYS-007	The system MTBF shall exceed 2000 hours	> 2000 hrs	A
SYS-008	The system shall operate in a controlled factory environment: 18-28 deg C, <80% RH, non-condensing	Environmental envelope	A
SYS-009	The system shall comply with CE marking directives (Machinery Directive 2006/42/EC, EMC Directive 2014/30/EU, LVD 2014/35/EU)	CE compliance	A/T
SYS-010	The system total electrical power consumption shall not exceed 30 kW (sustained) / 45 kW (peak)	<= 30 kW sustained	T
SYS-011	The system shall be designed for serial production (minimum 10 units/year)	Production intent design	A
SYS-012	The system shall provide operator alerts for all fault conditions, consumable wear, and maintenance requirements via HMI and	Alerting system	D

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
	optional remote notification		
SYS-013	The system total footprint shall not exceed 6000mm x 4000mm including all ancillaries	<= 6.0 x 4.0 m	I
SYS-014	The system shall achieve first-part accuracy (no warm-up cutting required) within 15 minutes of power-on	Cold-start ready in 15 min	T

5.2 Optics Requirements (OP)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
OP-001	The laser source shall be a variable-BPP fiber laser with adjustable beam parameter product	BPP: 0.8 - 8.0 mm*mrad, adjustable	T
OP-002	Laser output power shall be continuously adjustable from 5% to 100% of rated power	6-8 kW rated, 300W - 8kW	T
OP-003	Laser power stability shall be +/- 1% of set power over 8 hours of continuous operation	+/- 1%	T
OP-004	BPP mode switching time shall not exceed 50 ms (10% to 90% beam profile transition)	<= 50 ms	T
OP-005	The cutting head shall provide motorized zoom collimation with focus position adjustment range of +/- 15mm	+/- 15mm focus range	T
OP-006	Focused spot diameter shall be adjustable from 30um (fine mode) to 300um (power mode) via BPP and collimation control	30-300 um spot range	T
OP-007	The coaxial vision system shall provide real-time imaging of the cutting zone with >= 5 um/pixel resolution at the workpiece surface	>= 5 um/pixel	T
OP-008	The coaxial OCT sensor shall measure kerf depth with +/- 20 um accuracy at a measurement rate >= 10 kHz	+/- 20um, >= 10kHz	T
OP-009	All optical components in the beam path shall withstand full laser power continuously with degradation < 1% per 1000 hours	< 1% /1000hr degradation	T
OP-010	Protective window monitoring shall detect contamination before beam quality degradation exceeds 5%	< 5% BQ degradation before alert	T
OP-011	The beam delivery fiber shall maintain beam quality specification over its full length and bend radius range without modal degradation	BQ maintained at min bend radius	T
OP-012	Nozzle-to-workpiece standoff distance shall be controllable from 0.3mm to 3.0mm with	0.3-3.0mm, +/- 0.05mm	T

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
	+/- 0.05mm accuracy via capacitive height sensing		

5.3 Mechanical Requirements (ME)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
ME-001	The gantry shall provide positioning accuracy of +/- 10 um over the full 2500 x 1500 mm work area	+/- 10 um full-field	T
ME-002	Gantry repeatability shall be +/- 5 um (bidirectional) over the full work area	+/- 5 um	T
ME-003	Maximum gantry traverse speed shall be >= 100 m/min on both X and Y axes	>= 100 m/min	T
ME-004	Gantry acceleration shall be >= 1.0 G on both X and Y axes	>= 1.0 G (9.8 m/s ²)	T
ME-005	The machine base shall be manufactured from polymer concrete or mineral cast with natural frequency >= 80 Hz (first mode, unloaded)	>= 80 Hz first mode	T/A
ME-006	Thermal drift of the gantry shall not exceed 5 um/deg C across the full work area	<= 5 um/deg C	T
ME-007	The work table shall support a maximum workpiece mass of 500 kg without deflection exceeding 0.1 mm	500 kg, < 0.1mm deflection	A/T
ME-008	The Z-axis (focus) shall provide +/- 5 mm travel with positioning resolution of 1 um and bandwidth >= 100 Hz	+/- 5mm, 1um res, 100Hz BW	T
ME-009	All linear axes shall use direct-drive linear motors (no mechanical transmission backlash)	Direct drive, zero backlash	I
ME-010	The gantry moving mass (head carriage + cutting head + Z-axis) shall not exceed 25 kg	<= 25 kg	I
ME-011	Vibration at the cutting nozzle tip shall not exceed 2 um RMS during cutting motion at 10 m/min	<= 2 um RMS at nozzle	T
ME-012	The work table shall accommodate automated sheet exchange (shuttle table or similar) with exchange time <= 60 seconds	<= 60 sec exchange	T
ME-013	The machine base shall include leveling provisions for installation on industrial floors (non-isolated)	Leveling pads, +/- 5mm range	I
ME-014	The cutting table slats/supports shall be replaceable without tools, individual slat	<= 30 sec per slat	D

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
	replacement time $\leq$ 30 seconds		

5.4 Electronics Requirements (EL)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
EL-001	The CNC controller shall execute motion interpolation at $\geq$ 4 kHz servo update rate with sub-microsecond jitter	$\geq$ 4 kHz, $<$ 1us jitter	T
EL-002	All motion axes shall use absolute encoders with resolution $\leq$ 0.1 um (linear) or equivalent	$\leq$ 0.1 um resolution	I/T
EL-003	The servo drives shall support EtherCAT communication with cycle time $\leq$ 250 us	$\leq$ 250 us cycle time	T
EL-004	The electrical cabinet shall maintain component temperatures within rated operating ranges with ambient up to 40 deg C	40 deg C ambient capability	T
EL-005	All sensor analog inputs (photodiode, pyrometer, AE) shall be sampled at $\geq$ 100 kHz with $\geq$ 16-bit resolution	$\geq$ 100 kHz, $\geq$ 16-bit	T
EL-006	The laser interface shall comply with the laser vendor's digital interface specification (typically SPI or EtherCAT) with power command latency $\leq$ 1 ms	$\leq$ 1 ms command latency	T
EL-007	The system shall include UPS or controlled shutdown capability to protect laser optics and motion in case of power loss	Controlled shutdown on power loss	D
EL-008	EMC emissions shall comply with EN 55011 Group 2 Class A	EN 55011 compliance	T
EL-009	EMC immunity shall comply with EN 61000-6-2 (industrial environment)	EN 61000-6-2 compliance	T
EL-010	All safety-critical I/O (E-stop, interlocks, light curtains, laser enable) shall be routed through the safety PLC with diagnostic coverage $\geq$ 99%	SIL-2, DC $\geq$ 99%	A/T
EL-011	Gas pressure sensors shall provide feedback with $\pm$ 0.1 bar accuracy at measurement rates $\geq$ 100 Hz	$\pm$ 0.1 bar, $\geq$ 100 Hz	T
EL-012	The main power supply shall be 3-phase 380-480V AC, 50/60 Hz, with power factor correction (PFC $\geq$ 0.95)	PFC $\geq$ 0.95	T

5.5 Software Requirements (SW)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
SW-001	The real-time control software shall execute the adaptive cutting control loop at ≥ 4 kHz update rate on the CNC platform	≥ 4 kHz control loop	T
SW-002	The system shall accept standard industrial file formats: DXF, DWG, STEP, IGES for part geometry import	DXF, DWG, STEP, IGES	D
SW-003	The CAM/nesting module shall automatically generate optimized nesting layouts with material utilization $\geq 85\%$ for typical mixed-part jobs	$\geq 85\%$ material utilization	D
SW-004	The HMI shall provide real-time visualization of: cutting position, laser parameters, sensor feedback, gas pressures, system status, and alarm conditions	Real-time HMI dashboard	D
SW-005	The system shall log all process parameters and sensor data at ≥ 1 kHz sample rate with timestamped storage for post-process quality analysis	≥ 1 kHz data logging	T
SW-006	The software shall implement automatic BPP mode selection based on part geometry analysis (feature size detection)	Auto BPP mode assignment	D
SW-007	The software shall provide a process parameter database with CRUD operations, version control, and association with material type and thickness	Process recipe database	D
SW-008	The HMI shall support remote monitoring via secure network connection (VPN) with read-only status display and alarm notification	Remote monitoring capability	D
SW-009	The software shall implement predictive maintenance alerts based on: laser operating hours, optics degradation trends, motion system wear indicators, and consumable usage tracking	Predictive maintenance	D
SW-010	Boot time from power-on to operational readiness (HMI displayed, axes homed, laser ready) shall not exceed 120 seconds	≤ 120 sec boot time	T
SW-011	The software shall implement automatic nozzle centering calibration using the coaxial vision system	Auto nozzle centering	D
SW-012	All software shall include comprehensive error handling with descriptive error codes, operator guidance text, and logging of all error events	Error handling and logging	D/I
SW-013	The system shall support OPC UA communication interface for integration with factory MES/ERP systems	OPC UA server	T

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
SW-014	The cutting path planner shall implement look-ahead of ≥ 200 segments for smooth velocity profiling at corners and curves	≥ 200 segment look-ahead	T

5.6 Safety Requirements (SA)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
SA-001	The system shall be classified as a Class 1 laser product per IEC 60825-1 when all enclosure panels are in place	Class 1 per IEC 60825-1	T
SA-002	All access doors/panels shall be fitted with safety interlocks that disable the laser within 10 ms of door opening	≤ 10 ms laser disable	T
SA-003	The safety system shall achieve SIL-2 per EN 62061 for the laser shutdown function	SIL-2 for laser shutdown	A
SA-004	Emergency stop buttons shall be accessible from all operator positions and shall bring the machine to a safe state within 500 ms	≤ 500 ms to safe state	T
SA-005	The enclosure shall attenuate laser radiation to below MPE (Maximum Permissible Exposure) at all external surfaces, verified at $OD \geq 7$ for 1 μ m wavelength	$OD \geq 7$ at all surfaces	T
SA-006	Fume extraction shall maintain particulate levels below occupational exposure limits per EN 689 at all operator positions during cutting	Below OEL at all positions	T
SA-007	The system shall include fire detection (smoke/thermal sensor) inside the enclosure with automatic laser shutdown and operator alert	Fire detection and auto-shutdown	D
SA-008	The gas delivery system shall include leak detection, overpressure relief, and automatic shutoff on fault	Gas safety interlocks	T
SA-009	Noise emission shall not exceed 80 dB(A) at 1 meter from the enclosure during cutting operations	≤ 80 dB(A) at 1m	T
SA-010	All safety functions shall be tested per a documented safety validation plan before first operation and at defined service intervals	Safety validation plan	A/D

5.7 Process & Environmental Requirements (PR)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
PR-001	The system shall provide nitrogen assist gas at pressures up to 25 bar for stainless steel cutting	≤ 25 bar N ₂	T
PR-002	The system shall provide oxygen assist gas at pressures up to 6 bar for optional reactive cutting	≤ 6 bar O ₂	T
PR-003	Gas pressure shall be electronically adjustable with proportional valves and closed-loop control, accuracy ± 0.2 bar	± 0.2 bar accuracy	T
PR-004	The cutting zone shall be maintained at positive gas pressure to prevent slag re-attachment and oxidation	Positive pressure at cut zone	D
PR-005	The system chiller shall maintain laser coolant temperature at 20 ± 0.5 deg C with cooling capacity ≥ 15 kW	20 ± 0.5 deg C, ≥ 15 kW	T
PR-006	Compressed air supply (for pneumatic actuators, air knife) shall be clean dry air per ISO 8573-1 Class 1.4.1	ISO 8573-1 Class 1.4.1	I
PR-007	The fume extraction system shall provide ≥ 3000 m ³ /hr airflow capacity with HEPA filtration (99.97% at 0.3 μ m)	≥ 3000 m ³ /hr, HEPA	T
PR-008	Waste slag/dross collection shall be contained and accessible for periodic removal without entering the cutting zone	Accessible slag collection	I
PR-009	The system shall include a compressed-air-driven air knife or lens purge to protect the lower optics from cutting debris	Optics purge system	I

5.8 Quality Assurance Requirements (QA)

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
QA-001	The system shall include an automated calibration routine that verifies positioning accuracy across the work area using the coaxial vision system and reference artifacts	Automated calibration routine	D
QA-002	Edge quality (surface roughness Ra) shall be measured and recorded for process qualification, target Ra ≤ 3.2 μ m for stainless steel at thicknesses ≤ 6 mm	Ra ≤ 3.2 μ m (t ≤ 6 mm)	T
QA-003	Kerf width shall be measured in-process by the OCT system with accuracy sufficient to verify minimum feature compliance	In-process kerf measurement	T

Req ID	Requirement	Value / Specification	Verification
QA-004	The system shall produce a cut-quality test part (standardized test geometry) that can be measured externally to verify all cutting specifications	Test part protocol	D
QA-005	All process parameter changes shall be logged with operator ID, timestamp, and previous/new values for traceability	Parameter change audit trail	D
QA-006	The system shall perform a self-check sequence at startup that verifies: laser output power, focus calibration, axis homing, sensor functionality, gas pressure, safety interlocks	Startup self-check	D
QA-007	Beam quality (M-squared or BPP) shall be measurable with an integrated or connectable beam diagnostic, performed at defined maintenance intervals	Beam quality measurement	D
QA-008	The process parameter database shall include acceptance criteria (pass/fail bounds) for each sensor during cutting, enabling automatic quality classification of each cut	Automatic quality classification	D

6. Preliminary BOM Cost Estimate

The following is a top-level BOM estimate for Architecture C at initial production volumes (10-20 units/year). Prices reflect expected negotiated pricing from primary vendors.

Subsystem	Components	Low Est. (\$K)	High Est. (\$K)	Notes
Laser source	Variable-BPP fiber laser, 6-8 kW	35	60	IPG/Coherent/Raycus range
Cutting head & optics	Zoom head, protective optics, nozzles	10	20	Precitec or Raytools
Process sensors	OCT, photodiodes, pyrometer, camera, AE	18	30	OCT is the major cost item
Motion system	Linear motors, encoders, guides, Z-axis	15	28	Etel/Beckhoff/Heidenhain
CNC & servo drives	Controller, servo drives, I/O modules	8	15	Beckhoff CX + AX5000
Safety system	Safety PLC, interlocks, light curtains	3	6	Pilz/Sick
Enclosure & frame	Laser safety enclosure, machine base, gantry structure	15	25	Polymer concrete base custom
Work table	Shuttle table, slats, drives	5	10	Semi-custom
Gas delivery	Regulators, valves, manifold, piping, nozzle set	3	6	Standard components
Chiller	Process chiller, 15-20 kW	3	6	SMC / S&A / Rittal
Fume extraction	HEPA unit, ducting, segmented plenum	8	15	Kemper / Plymovent
Electrical cabinet	Cabinet, wiring, connectors, PDU, UPS	5	8	Standard
Software & licenses	CNC license, HMI, CAM integration	3	8	Beckhoff + custom
Assembly & test materials	Cables, fasteners, consumables, test material	3	5	Estimate

Total estimated BOM range: \$134,000 - \$242,000

Target configuration: \$150,000 - \$165,000 achievable by selecting mid-range laser source (Raycus/Coherent), Raytools cutting head for production units, and optimizing sensor suite (OCT is optional for basic configuration, offered as upgrade).

Cost optimization strategy: Define a base configuration that meets core requirements at \$150K BOM and offer the advanced sensor suite (OCT, coaxial camera) as a premium option package at \$20-30K additional. This allows the product to meet the price target while offering a differentiated premium version.

7. Open Items, Assumptions, and Recommendations

7.1 Assumptions Made (Pending PM Confirmation)

- Primary cutting mode is through-cutting. Engraving/marketing capability is not in scope for V1.
- The system is intended as a product platform for serial production (≥ 10 units/year), not a one-off prototype.
- CE marking is required. No additional regional certifications (UL, CSA) are in scope for V1.
- Semi-automated material handling (shuttle table for sheet exchange). Full robotic loading is not in V1 scope.
- The 35um feature size requirement will be revised to the thickness-dependent specification in Table 1.1 based on physics feasibility analysis. This is the single most critical requirement assumption.
- Edge quality requirement will be defined as $R_a \leq 3.2$ um for stainless steel at thicknesses up to 6mm, with relaxed values for thicker stock.
- Factory environment is climate-controlled (18-28 deg C) with clean compressed air and adequate electrical supply.

7.2 Open Technical Items

- Laser source selection: Requires beam delivery qualification with cutting head. Decision expected after 4-6 week evaluation.
- OCT integration: Confirm compatibility between selected laser wavelength and OCT measurement path. Precitec IDM validation needed.
- Gantry FEA: Detailed structural analysis required to finalize base material and cross-beam design.
- Process parameter development: 3-6 months of cutting trials needed after mechanical integration.
- Software architecture: Detailed software design review required before coding begins.

7.3 Recommendations to Product Management

8. CRITICAL: Revise the 35um minimum feature requirement to be thickness-dependent per Table 1.1. The current flat 35um requirement at all thicknesses is physically infeasible. If truly required, the project should be re-scoped as a research program with 2-3x the current budget.
9. Define edge quality requirements (surface roughness R_a) formally. Recommend $R_a \leq 3.2$ um for thicknesses up to 6mm, and $R_a \leq 6.3$ um for 6-15mm.
10. Consider a tiered product offering: Base model (without OCT, ~\$150K BOM) and Premium model (with full sensor suite, ~\$175-180K BOM).
11. Allocate 6-9 months of dedicated process development time and budget (\$20-30K in test materials) after machine integration.
12. Engage laser source vendor (IPG or Coherent) early for beam delivery qualification support. This is on the critical path.